
EXHIBIT

"B"

registered office 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701, or wherever the registered agent may be found.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The subject matter in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
7. This court has jurisdiction over the parties because Defendants are Texas residents.
8. Venue in Dallas County is proper in this cause under Section 15.002(a)(1) of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this county.

IV. RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR/JOINT ENTERPRISE/VICARIOUS LIABILITY FMCSA LIABILITY AND COURSE & SCOPE OF EMPLOYMENT

9. **J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC.**, is legally responsible to the Plaintiff for the negligent conduct of **JAMES MARTIN** under the legal doctrines of respondeat superior, agency, and/or ostensible agency, because Defendant, **JAMES MARTIN** was, at all times material to this lawsuit, an agent, ostensible agent, servant, employee of, or in joint enterprise with **J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC.** and was acting within the course and scope of such agency or employment at the time of the collision made the basis of this lawsuit. As a result, Defendant, **J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC.**, is liable for all of the negligent acts committed by Defendant, **JAMES MARTIN**.

10. At the time of the occurrence in question, Defendant **JAMES MARTIN** was the statutory employee of Defendant **J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC.** pursuant to Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, 49 C.F.R. § 390.5. The Texas Court of Appeals, Fort Worth, in *Morris v. JTM Materials, Inc.*, 78 S.W.3d 28, 37-38 (Tex. App.—Ft. Worth 2003, no pet.), noted that with respect to a business affecting interstate commerce, a “motor carrier’s liability for equipment and

drivers...is not governed by the traditional common-law doctrines of the master-servant relationship and respondeat superior. Instead, an interstate carrier is vicariously liable *as a matter of law*...for the negligence of its statutory employee drivers.” (Emphasis added).

V. FACTS

11. On or about April 22, 2021, Plaintiff was traveling in Dallas County, Texas when Defendants caused a collision with the Plaintiff. As a result of the collision, Plaintiff suffered serious bodily injuries. The 2017 White Freightliner operated by JAMES MARTIN belonged to Defendant J.B HUNT TRANSPORT, INC.

VI. PLAINTIFF’S CLAIM OF NEGLIGENCE AGAINST JAMES MARTIN

12. Defendant had a duty to exercise the degree of care that a person of ordinary prudence would use to avoid harm to others under circumstances similar to those described herein.

13. Plaintiff’s injuries were proximately caused by Defendant’s negligent, careless and reckless disregard of said duty.

14. The negligent, careless and reckless disregard of duty of Defendant consisted of, but is not limited to, the following acts and omissions:

- A. In that Defendant failed to keep a proper lookout for Plaintiff’s safety that would have been maintained by a person of ordinary prudence under the same or similar circumstances;
- B. In that Defendant failed to yield as a person of prudent care would have done;
- C. In that Defendant failed to turn his/her motor vehicle in an effort to avoid the collision complained of;
- D. In that Defendant failed to operate a motor vehicle as a person using ordinary prudent care would have done;

- E. In that Defendant failed to maintain a clear and reasonable distance between Plaintiff's motor vehicle and Defendant's motor vehicle which would permit Defendant to bring his/her motor vehicle to a safe stop without colliding into Plaintiff's motor vehicle;
- F. In that Defendant failed to keep such distance away from Plaintiff's motor vehicle as a person using ordinary prudent care would have done;
- G. In that Defendant was operating his/her motor vehicle at a rate of speed which was greater than that would have been operated by a person of ordinary prudence under the same or similar circumstances; and
- H. In that Defendant failed to apply his/her brakes to his/her motor vehicle in a timely and prudent manner and/or wholly failed to apply his/her brakes in order to avoid the collision in question.

VII. DAMAGES FOR PLAINTIFF KIMBERLY PHILLIPS

15. As a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiff, KIMBERLY PHILLIPS, was caused to suffer serious bodily injuries, and to incur the following damages for which Plaintiff seeks monetary relief of over \$100,000.00 but not more than \$1,000,000.00:

- A. Reasonable medical care and expenses in the past. These expenses were incurred by Plaintiffs, KIMBERLY PHILLIPS, for the necessary care and treatment of the injuries resulting from the accident and/or the aggravation of prior injuries and such charges are reasonable and were usual and customary charges for such services in Dallas County, Texas;
- B. Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses which will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
- C. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
- D. Physical pain and suffering in the future;
- E. Loss of earnings in the past;
- F. Loss of earning capacity which will, in all probability, be incurred in the future;
- G. Mental anguish in the past;

- H. Mental anguish in the future;
- I. Physical Impairment in the past; and
- J. Physical Impairment in the future.

VIII. DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

16. Plaintiff demands a jury trial and tenders the appropriate fee with this petition.

IX. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

17. Under Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 194, Plaintiff requests that Defendants disclose, within 30 days of the service of this request, the information or material described in rule 194.2.

X. PRAYER

18. **WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED,** Plaintiff, KIMBERLY PHILLIPS, respectfully prays that the Defendants, JAMES MARTIN and J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC., be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon a final hearing of the cause, judgment be entered for the Plaintiff against Defendants for damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of the Court; together with pre-judgment interest (from the date of injury through the date of judgment) at the maximum rate allowed by law; post-judgment interest at the legal rate, costs of court; and such other and further relief to which the Plaintiffs may be entitled _____ at law or in equity.

Respectfully Submitted,

KGS LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Abraham Garcia

Abraham Garcia
State Bar No. 24078005
Carlos A. Saldaña
State Bar No. 24086403
Brandon A. Kinard
State Bar No. 24079744
150 W Parker Rd, Suite 705-B
Houston, Texas 77076
Telephone No. (281) 962-7772
Facsimile No. (281) 962-7773
Email: kgs@kgsllawgroup.com
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

TO DEFENDANT:

**YOU MUST DELIVER THIS IMMEDIATELY
TO YOUR INSURANCE COMPANY WHO WAS
INSURING THE VEHICLE YOU WERE DRIVING
AT THE TIME OF THE ABOVE ACCIDENT.**

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the eFiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the eFiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

BRANDON KINARD on behalf of ABRAHAM GARCIA

Bar No. 24078005

KGS@KGSLAWGROUP.COM

Envelope ID: 54488210

Status as of 6/17/2021 10:07 AM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
ABRAHAM GARCIA		KGS@KGSLAWGROUP.COM	6/16/2021 3:48:39 PM	SENT